Introduction

This document provides a high-level quality assessment of the cloud and aerosol layer products derived from the CALIPSO lidar measurements, as described in section 2.4 of the CALIPSO Data Products Catalog (Version 3.6) (PDF). As such, it represents the minimum information needed by scientists and researchers for appropriate and successful use of these data products. We strongly suggest that all authors, researchers, and reviewers of research papers review this document periodically, and familiarize themselves with the latest status before publishing any scientific papers using these data products.

These data quality summaries are published specifically to inform users of the accuracy of CALIOP data products as determined by the CALIPSO Science Team and Lidar Science Working Group (LSWG). This document is intended to briefly summarize key validation results; provide cautions in those areas where users might easily misinterpret the data; supply links to further information about the data products and the algorithms used to generate them; and offer information about planned algorithm revisions and data improvements.

The primary geophysical variables reported by Cloud and Aerosol Layer Products are the spatial locations of layers (e.g., layer base and top altitudes), the surrounding meteorological conditions (e.g., temperature and pressure) and a number of measured and derived optical properties. Optical properties that are directly measured include integrated attenuated backscatter, volume depolarization ratio, and attenuated total color ratio. Derived optical properties are those that can only be obtained via application of the CALIPSO extinction retrieval. Optical depth is the primary derived optical property reported in the Layer Products. Others include ice water path, particulate depolarization ratio, and particulate color ratio. PLEASE NOTE: Users of those CALIOP parameters that are produced by or otherwise depend on the extinction retrieval(s) should read and thoroughly understand the information provided in the Profile Products Data Quality Summary. This summary contains an expanded description of the extinction retrieval process from which the layer optical depths are derived, and provides essential guidance in the appropriate use of all CALIOP extinction-related data products.

Data Product Maturity

Because validation for different parameters can require different levels of effort, and because the uncertainties inherent in some retrievals can be substantially larger than in others, the maturity levels of the parameters reported in the different layer products files are not uniform. Therefore, within this document, maturity levels are provided separately for each scientific data set (SDS) included with the data files. The data product maturity levels for the CALIPSO layer products are defined in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity Level Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated Stage 1:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated Stage 2:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated Stage 3:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a general (but not immutable) rule, the parameters in the cloud and aerosol layer products whose derivation depends wholly or in part on the extinction retrieval are assigned a product maturity level of *provisional*. Those products derived from the layer detection and scene classification algorithms only are designated as *ValStage1*.

**Documentation and References**

**Algorithm Theoretical Basis Documents (ATBDs)**

- PC-SCI-202.01 - Mission, Instrument, and Algorithms Overview (PDF)
- PC-SCI-202.02 - Feature Detection and Layer Properties Algorithms (PDF)
- PC-SCI-202.03 - Scene Classification Algorithms (PDF)
- PC-SCI-202.04 - Extinction Retrieval Algorithms (PDF)

**General References**

- PC-SCI-503 : CALIPSO Data Products Catalog (Version 3.6) (PDF)
- Data analysis overview: Fully automated analysis of space-based lidar data: an overview of the CALIPSO retrieval algorithms and data products (PDF)
- CALIPSO algorithm papers published in a special issue of the *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*
- Peer-reviewed CALIPSO validation papers
- Additional peer-reviewed publications discussing scientific applications and studies using CALIPSO data
- Recent conference proceedings covering a broad range of CALIPSO-related science and data analysis topics
- CALIPSO Data Read Software

**Standard and Expedited Data Set Definitions**

**Standard Data Sets:**
Standard data processing begins immediately upon delivery of all required ancillary data sets. The ancillary data sets used in standard processing (e.g., GMAO meteorological data and data from the National Snow and Ice Data Center) must be spatially and temporally matched to the CALIPSO data acquisition times, and thus the data lag latency between data onboard acquisition and the start of standard processing can be on the order of several days.

The data in each data set are global, but are produced in files by half orbit, with the day portion of an orbit in one file and the night portion of the orbit in another.

**Expedited Data Sets:**
Expedited data are processed as soon as possible after following downlink from the satellite and delivery to LaRC. Latency between onboard acquisition and analysis expedited processing is typically on the order of 6 to 28 hours. Expedited processing uses the most recently current available set of ancillary data (e.g., GMAO meteorological profiles) and calibration coefficients available, which may lag the CALIPSO data acquisition time/date by several days.

Expedited data files contain at the most, 90 minutes of data. Therefore, each file may contain both day and night data. **NOTE:** Users are strongly cautioned against using Expedited data products as the basis for research findings or journal publications. Standard data sets only should be used for these purposes.

The differences between expedited processing and standard processing are explained in more detail in "Adapting CALIPSO Climate Measurements for Near Real Time Analyses and Forecasting" (PDF).

**CALIPSO Cloud and Aerosol Layer Products**

**Overview**

The CALIPSO Cloud and Aerosol Layer Products are built around two tightly coupled data types. The first of these is a set of *column properties*, which describe the temporal and spatial location of the vertical column (or, for averaged data, curtain) of atmosphere being sampled. Column properties include satellite position data and viewing geometry, information about the surface type and lighting conditions, and the number of features (e.g., cloud and/or aerosol layers) identified within the column. For each set of column properties, there is an associated set of *layer properties*. These layer properties specify the spatial and optical characteristics of each feature found, and include quantities such as layer base and top altitudes, integrated attenuated backscatter, layer-integrated volume depolarization ratio, and optical depth. Below we provide brief descriptions of each of the column properties and the layer properties. Where appropriate, we also provide an assessment of the quality and accuracy of the data in the current release.

The layer products are generated at three different spatial resolutions.

- The **1/3 km layer products** report cloud detection information obtained at the highest spatial resolution of the lidar: 1/3 km horizontally and 30-m vertically. Due to constraints on CALIPSO's downlink bandwidth, this full resolution data is only available from ~8.3 km above mean sea level, down to ~0.5 km below sea level.

- The **1 km layer products** report cloud detection information obtained at a horizontal resolution of 1 km, over a vertical range extending from ~20.2 km above mean sea level, down to ~0.5 km below sea level.
- The 5 km layer products report (separately) cloud and aerosol detection information on a 5 km horizontal grid. At present there is no separate stratospheric data product. Stratospheric features are recorded in the 5 km aerosol product.

Users should be aware that while the 5 km layer products are reported on a uniform 5 km grid, the amount of horizontal averaging required to detect a layer may exceed 5 km. For example, detection of subvisible cirrus during daylight operations may require averaging to 20 km or even 80 km horizontally. In these cases, the layer properties of the feature detected are replicated as necessary to span the full extent of the averaging interval required for detection. For example, the layer properties for an aerosol layer that could only be detected after averaging over 20 km horizontally will be repeated over four consecutive 5 km columns.

The fundamental data product provided by the CALIPSO layer products is the vertical location of cloud and aerosol layer boundaries. All other layer properties -- e.g., integrated attenuated backscatters and layer two-way transmittances -- are computed with reference to these boundaries. To make proper use of the CALIPSO layer products, all users must be aware of the uncertainties inherent in the fully automated recognition of layer boundaries. Note too that clouds and aerosols are reported separately in the CALIPSO layer products. Therefore, to obtain a complete representation of all features detected within any region, users must use both the cloud and the aerosol layer products.

In the remainder of this document we provide brief descriptions of the individual parameters contained within the layer products files. Accompanying these descriptions are qualitative summaries of the product maturity level. Where appropriate, specific quality flags are included in the data products, and these too are described in some detail. The data descriptions are grouped into several major categories, as follows:

- **Column Time Parameters**
- **Column Geolocation Parameters**
- **Column Spacecraft Orientation**
- **Column Surface Properties**
- **Column Meteorological Data**
- **Column Optical Properties**
- **Column QA Information**
- **Layer Spatial Properties**
- **Layer Meteorological Properties**
- **Layer Measured Optical Properties**
- **Layer Derived Optical Properties**
- **Layer QA Information**
- **File Metadata Parameters**

### Column Time Parameters

**Day Night Flag**
This field indicates the lighting conditions at an altitude of ~24 km above mean sea level; 0 = day, 1 = night.

**Profile Time**
Time expressed in International Atomic Time (TAI). Units are in seconds, starting from January 1, 1993. Times reported in the 1/3 km layer products are for the individual laser pulses from which the layer statistics were derived. Times reported in the 1 km layer products represent the temporal midpoint of the three laser pulses averaged to generate the 1 km horizontal resolution. For the 5 km layer products, three values are reported: the time for the first pulse included in the 15 shot average; the time for the final pulse; and the time at the temporal midpoint (i.e., at the 8th of 15 consecutive laser shots).

**Profile Time UTC**
Time expressed in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC), and formatted as 'yyymmddffffff', where 'yy' represents the last two digits of year, 'mm' and 'dd' represent month and day, respectively, and 'ffffff' is the fractional part of the day. Times reported in the 1/3 km layer products are for the individual laser pulses from which the layer statistics were derived. Times reported in the 1 km layer products represent the temporal midpoint of the three laser pulses averaged to generate the 1 km horizontal resolution. For the 5 km layer products, three values are reported: the time for the first pulse included in the 15 shot average; the time for the final pulse; and the time at the temporal midpoint (i.e., at the 8th of 15 consecutive laser shots).

### Column Geolocation Information

**Latitude**
Geodetic latitude, in degrees, of the laser footprint on the Earth's surface. Latitudes reported in the 1/3 km layer products are for the individual laser pulses from which the layer statistics were derived. The latitudes reported in the 1 km layer products represent footprint latitude at the temporal midpoint of the three laser pulses averaged to generate the 1 km horizontal resolution. For the 5 km layer products, three values are reported: the footprint latitude for the first pulse included in the 15 shot average; the footprint latitude for the final pulse; and the footprint latitude at the temporal midpoint (i.e., at the 8th of 15 consecutive laser shots).

**Longitude**
Longitude, in degrees, of the laser footprint on the Earth's surface. Longitudes reported in the 1/3 km layer products are for the individual laser pulses from which the layer statistics were derived. The longitudes reported in the 1 km layer products represent...
footprint longitude at the temporal midpoint of the three laser pulses averaged to generate the 1 km horizontal resolution. For the 5 km layer products, three values are reported: the footprint longitude for the first pulse included in the 15 shot average; the footprint longitude for the final pulse; and the footprint longitude at the temporal midpoint (i.e., at the 8th of 15 consecutive laser shots).

Column Spacecraft Orientation

Off Nadir Angle
The angle, in degrees, between the viewing vector of the lidar and the nadir angle of the spacecraft. Beginning in June 2006, CALIPSO operated with the lidar pointed at 0.3 degrees off-nadir (along track in the forward direction), with the exception of November 6-17, 2006 and August 21 to September 7, 2007. During these periods, CALIPSO operated with the lidar pointed at 3.0 degrees off nadir. Beginning November 28, 2007, the off-nadir angle was permanently changed to 3.0 degrees.

Scattering Angle
The angle, in degrees, between the lidar viewing vector and the line of sight to the sun.

Solar Azimuth Angle
The azimuth angle, in degrees, from north of the line of sight to the sun.

Solar Zenith Angle
The angle, in degrees, between the zenith at the lidar footprint on the surface and the line of sight to the sun.

Spacecraft Position
Reports the position, in kilometers, of the CALIPSO satellite. The position is expressed in Earth Centered Rotating (ECR) coordinate system as X-axis in the equatorial plane through the Greenwich meridian, the Y-axis lies in the equatorial plane 90 degrees to the east of the X-axis, and the Z-axis is toward the North Pole.

Column Surface Properties

DEM Surface Elevation (external)
Surface elevation at the lidar footprint, in kilometers above local mean sea level, obtained from the GTOPO30 digital elevation map (DEM). The 5 km layer products report the minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation of all DEM surface samples along the 5 km averaging interval.

IGBP Surface Type (external)
International Geosphere/Biosphere Programme (IGBP) classification of the surface type at the lidar footprint. The IGBP surface types reported by CALIPSO are the same as those used in the CERES/SARB surface map.

Lidar Surface Elevation (ValStage1)
Surface elevation at the lidar footprint, in kilometers above local mean sea level, determined by analysis of the lidar backscatter signal; see section 7.3 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF). The 1/3 km and 1 km layer products report the base and top of the detected surface spike. The 5 km layer products report statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation for both the upper and lower boundaries of the surface echo) derived from an analysis of the 1 km signal. If the surface is detected at the 5 km resolution but not at 1 km, only the maximum and minimum values are reported for each boundary. If no surface is detected, this field will contain fill values.

The CALIOP surface detection routine uses a digital elevation map (DEM), GTOPO30 as the starting point in its search for the lidar surface echo, and thus the reliability of the lidar surface elevations depends to some extent on the accuracy of the information recorded in GTOPO30. The GTOPO30 data is very reliable over oceans, but can be considerably less so in rugged terrain, such as in the Andes mountains of Peru, and over the polar regions. Note too that due to aberrations in the signal caused by a non-ideal transient response in the 532 nm detectors, the geometric thickness associated with the lidar surface elevation (i.e., surface top - surface base) can be extremely misleading. This non-ideal transient response must be carefully considered whenever the (apparent) subsurface portions of the lidar signals analyzed.

NSIDC Surface Type (external)
Snow and ice coverage for the surface at the lidar footprint; data obtained from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).

Surface Elevation Detection Frequency (ValStage1; 5 km products only)
A bit-mapped 8-bit integer that reports both the horizontal averaging resolution at which the surface was originally detected and, where applicable, the frequency with which the surface was subsequently detected at the 1-km averaging resolution. Bit interpretation is as follows.

- 0 = not detected
1 = detected at 1/3-km averaging
2 = detected at 1-km averaging
3 = detected at 5-km averaging
4 = detected at 20-km averaging
5 = detected at 80-km averaging

Bits 4 and 5 are not used and are set to zero. Taken together, bits 6, 7, and 8 report the 5-km detection frequency:

0 = detection frequency = 0%
1 = detection frequency = 20%
2 = detection frequency = 40%
3 = detection frequency = 60%
4 = detection frequency = 80%
5 = detection frequency = 100%

Column Meteorological Data

Surface Wind Speed (external; aerosol products only)
Zonal and meridional surface wind speeds, in meters per second, obtained from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System.

Tropopause Height (external)
Tropopause height, in kilometers above local mean sea level; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System.

Tropopause Temperature (external)
Tropopause temperature, in degrees C; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System.

Column Optical Properties

Column Feature Fraction (ValStage1; 5-km products only)
The fraction of the 5-km horizontally averaged profile, between 30-km and the DEM surface elevation, which has been identified as containing a feature (i.e., either a cloud, an aerosol, or a stratospheric layer)

Column Integrated Attenuated Backscatter 532 (ValStage1; 5-km products only)
The integral with respect to altitude of the 532 nm total attenuated backscatter coefficients. The limits of integration are from the onset of the backscatter signal at ~40-km, down to the range bin immediately prior to the surface elevation specified by the digital elevation map. This quantity represents the total attenuated backscatter measured within a column. Physically meaningful values of the column integrated attenuated backscatter (hereafter, $y'_{\text{column}}$) range from ~0.01 sr (completely clear air), to greater than 1.5 sr (e.g., due to anomalous backscatter from horizontally oriented ice crystals; see Hu et al. (Optics Express 15, 2007)).

Column IAB Cumulative Probability (ValStage1; 5-km products only)
The cumulative probability of measuring a total column integrated attenuated backscatter value equal to the value computed for the current profile. Values in this field range between 0 and 1. The cumulative probability distribution function, shown below in Figure 1, was compiled using all CALIOP total column IAB measurements acquired between 15 June, 2006 and 18 October, 2006.
Column Optical Depth Cloud 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Optical depth of all clouds detected within a 5 km averaged profile, obtained by integrating the 532 nm cloud extinction profile reported in the CALIPSO 5 km Cloud Profile Products.

Column Optical Depth Cloud Uncertainty 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Estimated uncertainty in the Column Optical Depth Cloud 532 parameter, computed according to the CALIPSO Version 3 Extinction Uncertainty Document (PDF).

Column Optical Depth Aerosols 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Optical depth of all aerosols detected within a 5 km averaged profile, obtained by integrating the 532 nm aerosol extinction profile reported in the CALIPSO 5 km Aerosol Profile Products.

Column Optical Depth Aerosols Uncertainty 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Estimated uncertainty in the Column Optical Depth Aerosol 532 parameter, computed according to the CALIPSO Version 3 Extinction Uncertainty Document (PDF).

Column Optical Depth Aerosols 1064 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Optical depth of all aerosols detected within a 5 km averaged profile, obtained by integrating the 1064 nm aerosol extinction profile reported in the CALIPSO 5 km Aerosol Profile Products.

Column Optical Depth Aerosols Uncertainty 1064 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Estimated uncertainty in the Column Optical Depth Aerosol 1064 parameter, computed according to the CALIPSO Version 3 Extinction Uncertainty Document (PDF).

Column Optical Depth Stratospheric 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Optical depth of all stratospheric layers within a 5 km averaged profile, obtained by integrating the stratospheric particulate extinction coefficients reported at 532 nm in the CALIPSO 5 km Aerosol Profile Products.

Column Optical Depth Stratospheric Uncertainty 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Estimated uncertainty in the Column Optical Depth Stratospheric 532 parameter, according to the CALIPSO Version 3 Extinction Uncertainty Document (PDF).

Column Optical Depth Stratospheric 1064 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Optical depth of all stratospheric layers within a 5 km averaged profile, obtained by integrating the stratospheric particulate extinction coefficients reported at 1064 nm in the CALIPSO 5 km Aerosol Profile Products.

Column Optical Depth Stratospheric Uncertainty 1064 (provisional; 5-km products only)
Estimated uncertainty in the Column Optical Depth Stratospheric 1064 parameter, according to the CALIPSO Version 3 Extinction Uncertainty Document (PDF).

Parallel Column Reflectance 532 (provisional)
Bi-directional column reflectance derived from the root-mean-square (RMS) variation of the 532 nm parallel channel background measurements. For the 1/3-km layer products, single shot values are reported; for the 1-km and 5-km layer products, mean values are reported.

Parallel Column Reflectance RMS Variation 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
The RMS variation of the parallel channel reflectance values computed using the 15 samples that comprise a nominal 5-km horizontal swath of CALIOP lidar measurements.

Parallel Column Reflectance Uncertainty 532
Not calculated for the current release; data products contain fill values in this field.

Perpendicular Column Reflectance 532 (provisional)
Bi-directional column reflectance derived from the RMS variation of the 532 nm perpendicular channel background measurements. For the 1/3-km layer products, single shot values are reported; for the 1-km and 5-km layer products, mean values are reported.

Perpendicular Column Reflectance RMS Variation 532 (provisional; 5-km products only)
The RMS variation of the perpendicular channel reflectance values computed using the 15 samples that comprise a nominal 5-km horizontal swath of CALIOP lidar measurements.

Perpendicular Column Reflectance Uncertainty 532
Not calculated for the current release; data products contain fill values in this field.

Column QA Information

Calibration Altitude 532 (external)
Top and base altitudes, in kilometers above mean sea level, of the region of the atmosphere used for calibrating the 532 nm parallel channel. The calibration algorithm and procedures are explained in detail in the CALIOP Level 1 ATBD (PDF).

Feature Finder QC (ValStage1)
To generate data at a nominal 5 km horizontal resolution requires averaging 15 consecutive laser pulses. For each 5 km average, we report a set of feature finder QC flags. Conceptually, these flags are a set of 15 Boolean values which tell the user whether or not a feature (cloud, aerosol, or surface echo) was detected in each of the 15 laser pulses. The flags are implemented as a 16-bit integer. The most significant bit is unused, and always set to zero. Each of the 15 remaining bits represents the "features found" state for a single full-resolution profile. A bit value of zero indicates that one or more features were found within the profile. A feature finder QC flag value of zero for any 5 km column indicates complete feature finder success.

Normalization Constant Uncertainty (provisional)
Uncertainty in the 532 nm and 1064 nm calibration constants due solely to random error in the backscatter measurements in the calibration region; reported as a relative error (i.e., dC/C) in a N x 2 array, with the 532 nm uncertainties stored in first column, and the 1064 nm uncertainties in the second column.

Layer Spatial Properties

Horizontal Averaging (external; 5 km products only)
The amount of horizontal averaging required for a feature to be detected. For all data versions up to and including the 3.01 release, the values in this field will be either 0, 5, 20, or 80. 0 is a fill value; the remaining values indicate features detected at 5-km, 20-km, and 80-km averaging intervals, respectively.

Layer Top Altitude and Layer Base Altitude (ValStage1)
Layer top and base altitudes are reported in units of kilometers above mean sea level. Due to the on-board data averaging scheme, the precision with which CALIPSO can make this measurement is itself a function of altitude. Between -0.5 km and ~8.2 km, the vertical resolution of the lidar is 30-meters. From ~8.2 km to ~20.2 km, the vertical resolution of the lidar is 60-meters. Above ~20.2 km, the vertical resolution is 180-meters.

The CALIPO layer detection algorithm used for the Version 1 and Version 2 data releases is described in detail in Vaughan et al., 2009 and in the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF). For Version 3, an additional refinement has been incorporated into the base determination procedure. Under certain conditions, described here, the initial estimate of base altitude for those layers identified as aerosols will be extended to a new, lower altitude located 90 m above the local surface. The Layer Base Extended flag identifies those layers for which the base altitude has been altered by this procedure.

The uncertainties associated with detection of cloud and aerosol layers in backscatter lidar data are examined in detail in Section 5 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF). The ATBD contains quantitative assessments of feature finder performance derived using simulated data sets, for which all layer boundaries were known exactly. In the real world of layer detection, we do not have access to this underlying truth. Therefore in this document we provide the following set of "rules of thumb" that users can apply to the data products to obtain a qualitative understanding of the layer boundaries reported, and of the optical properties associated with these layers.

a. Strongly scattering features are easier to detect than weakly scattering features. The scattering intensity of each layer is reported in the 532 nm and 1064 nm attenuated backscatter statistics and by the integrated attenuated backscatter at 532 nm
and 1064 nm.

b. Detection of layers during the nighttime portion of the orbits is more reliable than during the daytime portion of the orbits. Due to solar background signals, the noise levels in the daytime measurements are much larger than those at night, and this additional noise can obscure faint features, and can lead to boundary detection errors even in more strongly scattering layers.

c. Features become increasingly difficult to detect with increasing optical depth above feature top. Put another way, detection of the lower layers in a multi-layer scene is made more difficult by the signal losses that occur as the laser light passes through the upper layers. (In a sense, this is a restatement of (a), since the backscatter intensity of secondary features is reduced from what it otherwise might be by the signal attenuation caused by the overlying features.) The Overlying Integrated Attenuated Backscatter and the Layer Integrated Attenuated Backscatter QA factor serve as proxies for the optical depth above each feature, and thus provide qualitative assessments of the confidence that users should assign to the reported layer properties.

d. In general, our confidence in the location of the top of a layer is somewhat greater than our confidence in the location of the base of the same layer. For transmissive features, one reason for this is that the backscatter signal is attenuated by traversing the feature, thus degrading the potential contrast between feature and "non-feature" at the base. Additionally, in strongly scattering layers, multiple scattering effects and signal perturbations introduced by the non-ideal transient response of the 532 nm detectors can also make base determination less certain.

e. The Opacity Flag is used to indicate features that completely attenuate the backscatter signal. For these features, the base altitude reported must be considered as an "apparent" base rather than a true base.

f. In those cases where the layer base has been extended to 90 m above the local surface, the assumption is that extended region contains aerosol that lies below the detection limits of the standard algorithm. The resulting increase in aerosol optical depths indicates that this procedure is appropriate far more often than not.

g. Stratospheric features reported during daylight -- especially those reported above 20 km between 60N and 60S -- are often noise artifacts and should be treated with suspicion.

Additional assessments of layer detection performance can be found in McGill et al., 2007 and Vaughan et al., 2009.

**Number Layers Found (ValStage1)**

The number of layers found in this column; cloud data products report (only) the number of cloud layers found, and aerosol data products report (only) the number of aerosol layers found.

Interpretation of the number of layers found parameter is straightforward for the 1-km and 1/3-km layer products: individual layers are always separated by regions of "clear air", and layer boundaries never overlap in the vertical dimension. However, this simplicity of interpretation does not always carry over into the 5-km cloud and aerosol layer products. CALIPSO uses a nested multi-grid feature finding algorithm (see the layer detection ATBD), and thus the search for layer boundaries is conducted at multiple horizontal averaging resolutions. While the 1-km and 1/3-km layer products report only those features detected at, respectively, averaging resolutions of 1-km and 1/3-km, the 5-km products report layers detected at multiple averaging resolutions (5-km, 20-km, and 80-km in the version 3 products). Because the reporting resolution (5-km) is not always identical to the detection resolution, layers may appear to overlap in the vertical dimension.

Figure 2 shows a wholly fictitious but heuristically useful schematic of layer detection results for a data segment extending 80-km horizontally and 465-m vertically. Yellow/orange/brown colors indicate an aerosol layer detected at horizontal averaging resolutions of, respectively, 80, 20 or 5 km. Shades of blue likewise represent clouds detected at 80, 20, and 5 km resolutions. The white regions are (presumably) clear air, where no features were found. The labeled rows at the bottom indicate the 'number of layers found' that will be reported in the cloud and aerosol layer products for each 5-km column.
In column 16, the layer labeled F5 (top altitude = 0.285 km, base altitude = 0.165 km) appears to vertically overlap F6 (top altitude = 0.255 km, base altitude = 0.135 km), which in turn appears overlap F7 (top altitude = 0.225 km). However, F5 was detected at an averaging resolution of 5-km, and hence the backscatter data that comprises F5 is removed from consideration before construction the 20-km horizontally averaged profile in which F6 was detected. Similarly, the backscatter data from both F5 and F6 were removed from consideration before constructing the 80-km averaged profile in which F7 was detected. Layers detected at higher spatial resolutions are thus seen to overwrite, rather than overlap apparently collocated layers detected at coarser spatial resolutions. More details can be found in the Feature Detection and Layer Properties ATBD (PDF) and in Vaughan et al., 2009.

**Single Shot Cloud Cleared Fraction** (ValStage1; 5 km products only)
Layers detected in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) are subjected to an additional cloud clearing procedure to separate small-scale boundary layer clouds from any surrounding PBL aerosols at the highest possible spatial resolution (i.e., single shot data). The single shot cloud cleared fraction reports the fraction of the nominal layer area (i.e., horizontal averaging distance times layer height) that was removed by the cloud clearing process. Details of the CALIPSO cloud clearing procedure can be found in the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF) and in Vaughan et al., 2009.

**Layer Meteorological Properties**

**Layer Top Pressure** (external)
Pressure, in hPa, at the layer top altitude; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System

**Midlayer Pressure** (external)
Pressure, in hPa, at the geometric midpoint of the layer in the vertical dimension; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System

**Layer Base Pressure** (external)
Pressure, in hPa, at the layer top altitude; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System

**Layer Top Temperature** (external)
Temperature, in degrees C, at the layer top altitude; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System

**Midlayer Temperature** (external)
Temperature, in degrees C, at the geometric midpoint of the layer in the vertical dimension; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System
Layer Base Temperature (external)
Temperature, in degrees C, at the layer base altitude; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System

Relative Humidity (external: 5 km aerosol products only)
Relative humidity, in percent, at the geometric midpoint of the layer in the vertical dimension; derived from the GEOS-5 data product provided to the CALIPSO project by the GMAO Data Assimilation System

Layer Measured Optical Properties

Integrated Attenuated Backscatter 532 (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
The 532 nm integrated attenuated backscatter (hereafter, $\gamma'_{532}$ or IAB) for any layer is computed according to equation 3.14 in section 3.2.9.1 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

For the uppermost layer in any column, the quality of the estimate for $\gamma'_{532}$ is determined by the accuracy of the top and base identification, the reliability of the 532 nm channel calibrations, and by the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the backscatter data within the layer. For layers beneath the uppermost, the quality of our estimate for $\gamma'_{532}$ also depends on either obtaining an independent estimate of the two-way transmittance, $T^2$, for all overlying layers, or by estimating this quantity directly from the lidar backscatter data. In those situations where an extended region of clear air exists between successive layers, and where the uppermost layer has no more than a moderate optical depth of -- say -- between 0.4 and 2.0, $T^2$ can be estimated directly from the attenuated backscatter data (albeit with some uncertainty due to noise and the possibility of aerosol contamination of the clear air regions). Otherwise, the only way to estimate $T^2$ is to compute a full extinction retrieval for the profile being examined. In this case, additional error can be introduced into the estimate of $\gamma'_{532}$ by uncertainties in the approximation of theidar ratio(s) for the overlying layer(s). Furthermore, the effects of errors caused by misestimating $T^2$ can increase sharply as the optical thickness above a layer increases. For the 5 km layer products, the CALIOP processing scheme always attempts to correct estimates of $\gamma'_{532}$ for the attenuation imparted by previously identified overlying features. As a consequence, we will occasionally report unrealistically large values for $\gamma'_{532}$ in the 5 km layer products. However, because extinction solutions are only derived for data averaged to a 5-km (or greater) resolution, the $\gamma'_{532}$ values reported in the 1 km and 1/3 km layer products are not corrected for the signal attenuation effects imparted by overlying layers.

The values reported for $\gamma'_{532}$ should always be positive, and for the results derived directly from the layer detection algorithm (i.e., in the 1 km and 1/3 km layer products) this is indeed always true. However, in the 5 km products there are certain rare and pathological cases where a secondary layer could only be detected after averaging to 20 km or even 80 km horizontally, and where the overlying layers were detected at 5 km and have vastly different optical depths. In these cases, integrating the reaveraged data within the secondary layer will occasionally yield a negative $\gamma'_{532}$. Such layers can be identified by a special CAD score of 105. All measured and derived optical properties for these layers are unreliable and should be ignored. In evaluating the reliability of the spatial properties of these layers, users should carefully consider the layer IAB QA factor.

Integrated Attenuated Backscatter Uncertainty 532 (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
The uncertainties reported for the 532 nm integrated attenuated backscatter provide an estimate of the random error in the backscatter signal. The general procedure used for calculating uncertainties for integrated quantities is described by Liu et al., 2006 (PDF). The specific formula is given by equation 6.7 in the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

Attenuated Backscatter Statistics 532 (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
This field reports the minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, centroid, and skewness coefficient of the 532 nm attenuated backscatter coefficients for each layer. Formulas used for each of the statistical calculations can be found in section 6 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

Integrated Attenuated Backscatter 1064 (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
The 1064 nm integrated attenuated backscatter (hereafter, $\gamma'_{1064}$) for any layer is computed according to equation 6.6 in section 6.5 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

As is the case for $\gamma'_{532}$, in the uppermost layer within any column, the quality of the estimate for $\gamma'_{1064}$ is determined by the accuracy of the top and base identification, the reliability of the 1064 nm calibration constant, and by the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the backscatter data within the layer. However, unlike the measurements at 532 nm, reliable estimates of $T^2$ cannot be derived from an analysis of the 1064 nm backscatter signal in the (assumed to be) clear air regions, and thus in the 5 km products, the $T^2$ corrections for the attenuation from overlying layers are always obtained from an extinction solution that uses prescribed values of the lidar ratios for all overlying layers. As is the case at 532 nm, no $T^2$ corrections are applied to the $\gamma'_{1064}$ values reported in the 1 km and 1/3 km layer products. Furthermore, because the CALIOP layer detection algorithm typically examines only the 532 nm backscatter signals, negative (i.e., non-physical) values may occasionally be reported for $\gamma'_{1064}$ in all resolutions of the layer products. Unlike the layers for which $\gamma'_{532}$ is negative, layers with negative $\gamma'_{1064}$ are not indicated by a special CAD score. Negative values of $\gamma'_{1064}$ occur most often for very weakly scattering layers (e.g., subvisible cirrus and faint aerosols) and in those layers for which the backscatter signal has been highly attenuated by other, overlying layers.

Integrated Attenuated Backscatter Uncertainty 1064 (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
The uncertainties reported for the 1064 nm integrated attenuated backscatter values provide an estimate of the random error in the backscatter signal. The general procedure used for calculating uncertainties for integrated quantities is described by Liu et al., 2006 (PDF). The specific formula is given by equation 6.7 in the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).
Attenuated Backscatter Statistics 1064 (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
This field reports the minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, centroid, and skewness coefficient of the 1064 nm attenuated backscatter coefficients for each layer. Formulas used for each of the statistical calculations can be found in section 6 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

Integrated Volume Depolarization Ratio (ValStage1)
The layer integrated 532 nm volume depolarization ratio (hereafter, $\delta_v$) is computed according to equation 6.10 in section 6.7 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

The quality of the estimate for $\delta_v$ is determined by the accuracy of the top and base identification, the reliability of the polarization gain ratio calibration, and by the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the backscatter data within the layer. In general, the CALIOP $\delta_v$ estimates are highly reliable. Histograms of $\delta_v$ compiled for midlatitude cirrus in the northern hemisphere compare very well with previously reported distributions, e.g., Sassen & Benson, 2001 (PDF).

Integrated Volume Depolarization Ratio Uncertainty (ValStage1)
The uncertainties reported for the 532 nm layer-integrated volume depolarization ratios provide an estimate of the total random error in the combined backscatter signals (i.e., the 532 nm parallel and perpendicular signals within the feature). The general procedure used for calculating uncertainties for integrated quantities is described by Liu et al., 2006 (PDF). The specific formula is given by equation 6.11 in the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

Volume Depolarization Ratio Statistics (ValStage1)
This field reports the minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, centroid, and skewness coefficient of the 532 nm volume depolarization ratios for each layer. Formulas used for each of the statistical calculations can be found in section 6 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

In regions with acceptable SNR, the accuracy with which the range resolved depolarization ratios can be determined will depend almost entirely on the accuracy of the polarization gain ratio calibration.

Users can have high confidence in the calculation of all of the values in the depolarization ratio statistics fields. However, the meaning of these numbers can be somewhat obscure. This is because each of the range resolved depolarization ratios within any layer is the ratio of two noisy numbers. Especially where the feature is relatively faint, and in regions of low SNR, data values in both the numerator (the 532 nm perpendicular channel) and the denominator (the 532 nm parallel channel) can randomly and independently approach zero, which in turn can generate extremely large or extremely small (and even non-physical) depolarization ratios. When computing layer means, standard deviations, and centroids, these values can dominate the calculation, and thus return entirely unrealistic estimates. When assessing the depolarization ratio that characterizes a layer, $\delta_v$, and the layer median are both more reliable indicators than the mean.

Integrated Attenuated Total Color Ratio (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
The layer integrated attenuated total color ratio (hereafter, $\chi_{layer}$) is computed according to equation 6.13 in section 6.7 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

The quality of the estimate for $\chi_{layer}$ is determined by the accuracy of the top and base identification, the reliability of the 532 nm calibration constant and the 1064 nm calibration constant, and by the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the backscatter data within the layer. For the 5 km layer products, the attenuated backscatter coefficients used in the calculation of $\chi_{layer}$ are corrected for the estimated overlying two-way transmittance. No such correction is attempted for the 1 km and 1/3 km values, as no extinction solution is computed at these resolutions.

Integrated Attenuated Total Color Ratio Uncertainty (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
The uncertainties reported for the layer-integrated attenuated total color ratios provide an estimate of the total random error in the combined backscatter signals (i.e., at 532 nm and 1064 nm). The general procedure used for calculating uncertainties for integrated quantities is described by Liu et al., 2006 (PDF). The specific formula is given by equation 6.14 in the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

Attenuated Total Color Ratio Statistics (provisional @ 5 km; ValStage1 @ 1 km & 1/3 km)
This field reports the minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, centroid, and skewness coefficient of the attenuated total color ratios for each layer. Formulas used for each of the statistical calculations can be found in section 6 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

Users can have high confidence in the calculation of all of the values in the attenuated total color ratio statistics fields. However, as with the 532 nm depolarization ratio statistics, the meaning of the various numbers can be somewhat misleading. Like the depolarization ratios, the attenuated total color ratios are produced by dividing one noisy number (the 1064 nm attenuated backscatter coefficient) by a second noisy number (the 532 nm attenuated backscatter coefficient). Depending on the noise in any pair of samples, the resulting values can range from large negative values to extremely large positive values. When computing layer means, standard deviations, and centroids, these outliers can dominate the calculation, and thus return entirely unrealistic estimates.

Measured Two Way Transmittance 532 (provisional; 5 km products only)
Provides the measured value of the layer two-way transmittance ($T^2$) for isolated transparent layers. In this context, an isolated layer is one that is not in contact with another layer or the surface at either its upper or lower boundaries. $T^2$ is derived by computing the ratio of the mean attenuated scattering ratios in the “clear air” regions immediately below and above the layer. Details of the calculation are provided in the layer detection ATBD (PDF). This quantity is reported only for the 532 nm data, as the CALIOP 1064 nm channel is
essentially insensitive to molecular backscatter. Physically meaningful measurements of two-way transmittance lie between 0 and 1; however, due to noise in the backscatter signal, and perhaps to undetected aerosol contamination of the “clear air” regions, the values reported in the CALIOP data products will sometimes exceed these bounds.

**Measured Two Way Transmittance Uncertainty 532** (provisional; 5 km products only)

The relative error in the two-way transmittance measurement, calculated using [standard techniques for error propagation](#) in ratioed quantities.

**Opacity Flag (ValStage1; 5 km products only)**

In the context of the 5-km CALIOP layer products, a layer is considered opaque if (a) it is the lowest feature detected in a column, and (b) it is not subsequently classified as a surface return. An opacity flag value of 1 indicates an opaque layer; values of 0 indicate transparent layers. Users should be aware that the opacity flag does not indicate that an individual layer is actually opaque in the normal sense of the term. Instead, the opacity flag identifies that layer in which the backscatter signal becomes completely attenuated (i.e., indistinguishable from the background signal level). For those features having an opacity flag of 1, the reported base altitude must be considered as an apparent base, rather than a true base.

Because all features reported in 1/3-km and 1-km layer products are detected at a single horizontal averaging resolution (i.e., either at 1/3-km or 1-km), the opacity flag is not reported. When using these products, opacity, in the sense described above, can be assessed as follows. If the surface was detected (i.e., the lidar surface altitude field does not contain fill values) then there are no opaque layers in the column. If the surface was not detected, then the lowest layer in the column is considered to be opaque.

**Layer Derived Optical Properties**

**Feature Optical Depth 532** (provisional; 5 km products only)

**Feature Optical Depth 1064** (provisional; 5 km aerosol products only)

Reports estimates of layer optical depth computed according to the procedures outlined in the [CALIOP extinction retrieval ATBD (PDF)](#). Estimates for aerosol optical depths are provided at both wavelengths. Because the extinction coefficients for clouds are largely independent of wavelength in the spectral region sampled by CALIOP, cloud optical depth is reported only for the 532 nm measurements. When using any of these values in scientific studies, users are cautioned to take note of several important caveats:

- For the vast majority of cases, CALIOP cannot provide a direct measurement of layer optical depth. In these cases, estimates of optical depth are derived using extinction-to-backscatter ratios (i.e., lidar ratios) that are specified based on an assessment of layer type and subtype. Uncertainties in the value of the lidar ratio, which can arise both from natural variability and from occasional misclassification of layer type, propagate non-linearly into subsequent estimates of layer optical depth.

- Retrievals of optical depth from space-based lidar measurements must account for contributions from multiple scattering that are generally considered negligible in ground-based and aircraft based measurements. The theoretical basis for CALIPSO's treatment of multiple scattering is provided in the [extinction retrieval ATBD (PDF)](#) and in [Winker, 2003](#).

- Similar to the layer detection problem, estimates of layer optical depth become increasingly fraught with error in multiple layer scenes, as errors incurred in overlying layers are propagated into the solutions derived for underlying features.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** before proceeding, all users of the CALIOP optical depth data should read and thoroughly understand the information provided in the [Profile Products Data Quality Summary](#). This summary contains an expanded description of the extinction retrieval process from which the layer optical depths are derived, and provides essential guidance in the appropriate use of all CALIOP extinction-related data products.

Despite these caveats, users should not be unduly pessimistic about the quality and usability of the CALIPSO optical depth estimates. Figure 3 (below) shows a preliminary comparison of CALIPSO version 3 aerosol optical depths with the optical depths derived from MODIS for all daytime measurements acquired during January 2007. The comparison is generally good, with MODIS appearing to slightly over-estimate values at the lower end of the optical depth range.
Feature Optical Depth Uncertainty 532 (provisional; 5 km products only)
Feature Optical Depth Uncertainty 1064 (provisional; 5 km aerosol products only)

Estimated uncertainty in the layer optical depth at each wavelength, computed according to the formulas give in the CALIPSO Version 3 Extinction Uncertainty Document (PDF). Ignoring multiple scattering concerns for the moment, errors in layer optical depth calculations typically arise from three main sources: signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) within a layer, calibration accuracy, and the accuracy of the lidar ratio specified for use in the solution. Except for constrained solutions, where a lidar ratio estimate can be obtained directly from the attenuated backscatter data, lidar ratio uncertainties are almost always the dominant contributor to optical depth uncertainties, and the relative error in the layer optical depth will always be at least as large as the relative error in the layer lidar ratio, and will grow as the solution propagates through the layer and the layer two-way particulate transmittance decreases.

Calculation of the layer optical depth uncertainty is an iterative process. On some occasions when the SNR is poor, or an inappropriate lidar ratio is being used, the iteration will attempt to converge asymptotically to positive infinity. Whenever this situation is detected, the iteration is terminated, and the layer optical depth uncertainty is assigned a fixed value of 99.99. Any time an uncertainty of 99.99 is reported, the extinction calculation should be considered to have failed. The associated optical depths cannot be considered reliable, and should therefore be excluded from all science studies. Note: optical depth uncertainties are reported as absolute errors, not relative errors.

Initial 532 Lidar Ratio (ValStage1; 5 km products only)
Initial 1064 Lidar Ratio (ValStage1: 5 km aerosol products only)

Retrieving optical depth and profiles of extinction and backscatter coefficients from the CALIOP measurements requires an estimate of the particulate extinction-to-backscatter ratio, which in the lidar community is commonly known as the "lidar ratio". These initial estimates are selected based on the type and subtype of the layer being analyzed. The values used in the current release are given below. Values highlighted in red have been changed since the version 2 release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Subtype</th>
<th>Initial 532 nm lidar ratio</th>
<th>Initial 1064 nm lidar ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>$19 \pm 10$ sr</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>ice</td>
<td>$25 \pm 10$ sr</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>unknown phase</td>
<td>$22 \pm 11$ sr</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerosol</td>
<td>marine</td>
<td>$20 \pm 6$ sr</td>
<td>$45 \pm 23$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerosol</td>
<td>desert dust</td>
<td>$40 \pm 20$ sr</td>
<td>$55 \pm 17$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerosol</td>
<td>polluted continental</td>
<td>$70 \pm 25$ sr</td>
<td>$30 \pm 14$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerosol</td>
<td>clean continental</td>
<td>$35 \pm 16$ sr</td>
<td>$30 \pm 17$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerosol</td>
<td>polluted dust</td>
<td>$55 \pm 22$ sr</td>
<td>$48 \pm 24$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerosol</td>
<td>biomass burning</td>
<td>$70 \pm 28$ sr</td>
<td>$40 \pm 24$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stratospheric</td>
<td>all</td>
<td>$25 \pm 10$ sr</td>
<td>$25 \pm 10$ sr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aerosol lidar ratios used for the CALIOP analyses represent well established mean values that are characteristic of the natural variability exhibited for each aerosol species (e.g., see Omar et al., 2005 (PDF); Cattrall et. al., 2005; and Figure 4 below). The clear implication of this natural variability is that even for those cases where the aerosol type is correctly identified, the initial lidar ratio represents an imperfect estimate of the layer-effective lidar ratio of any specific aerosol layer. These same caveats apply equally to the mean values used for the initial cloud lidar ratios. For all layer types, cloud-aerosol discrimination errors can exacerbate the error associated with the specification of the initial lidar ratio. Uncertainty can also be introduced by the cloud ice-water phase classification and the aerosol subtype identification procedures. However, the CALIOP extinction algorithm incorporates some error-correcting mechanisms that in many cases will adjust the initial estimate of lidar ratio so that a more suitable value is ultimately used in the retrieval. Details of the lidar ratio adjustment scheme are provided in the extinction retrieval ATBD (PDF). Algorithm architectural information and generalized error analyses for CALIPSO's cloud-aerosol discrimination algorithms, cloud ice-water phase algorithms, and aerosol subtyping algorithms can be found in the CALIPSO Scene Classification ATBD (PDF).

Figure 4: Distributions for AERONET-derived lidar ratios, computed for aerosol types described in Omar et al., 2005 (PDF):
The version 3.0 aerosol subtyping scheme is different from the previous versions. Two of the aerosol models, dust and polluted dust, discussed in Omar et al. (2009) and used in prior versions have been updated in light of the latest advances in the science. Recent measurements of size distributions of dust aerosol during NAMMA and T-Matrix calculations of the phase functions allowed a more realistic estimate of the dust lidar ratio at 1064 nm which, thus far, was based on a single measurement during SAFARI 2000. The dust phase function (squares in Figure 4a) is determined by T-Matrix calculations using NAMMA measurements of size distributions and refractive indices and the smoke phase functions (circles in Figure 4a) are determined from Mie calculations using size distributions and refractive indices of the biomass burning cluster of the AERONET measurements. The dust lidar ratios determined partly using NAMMA measurements are 40 sr and 55 sr at 532 nm and 1064 nm, respectively. The 55 sr at 1064 nm is a significant departure from the 30 sr used to calculate 1064 nm extinction coefficients in previous versions.

Since the polluted dust model is built from a smoke fine component and a dust coarse component, the above adjustment in the dust model is also reflected in the polluted dust model. A composite phase function (Figure 5b) of dust coarse mode and smoke fine mode from the individual phase functions is shown in Figure 5a. The resulting polluted dust lidar ratios are 55 sr at 532 nm and 48 sr at 1064 nm. The former is a departure from the old values of 65 sr at 532 nm. This is because in the original model most of the polluted dust aerosol was comprised of smoke, while this new model, partly based on NAMMA observations, apportions a significant surface area to the coarse mode dominated by dust. The old model used Mie calculations to generate polluted dust phase functions and lidar ratios while the new model uses Mie model calculations for the fine mode (smoke) and T-Matrix calculations for the coarse mode (dust) to generate the phase functions and lidar ratios.

![Figure 5: (a) Smoke and Dust phase functions determined by Mie and T-Matrix calculations respectively, and (b) composite dust and smoke phase functions representative of the polluted dust aerosol model](image)

**Final 532 Lidar Ratio (provisional; 5 km products only)**

**Final 1064 Lidar Ratio (provisional; 5 km aerosol products only)**

This parameter reports the lidar ratio in use at the conclusion of the extinction processing for each layer. The final lidar ratio may be (1) the initial lidar ratio supplied by the Scene Classification Algorithms, (2) the result of modifications to this initial lidar ratio to avoid a non-physical solution, or (3) a lidar ratio determined from a measured layer transmittance. In cases where a suitable estimate of layer optical depth is available, the lidar ratio derived from that measurement will be used to generate the extinction solution. The extinction processing terminates when either a successful solution is obtained, or when the required adjustments to the lidar ratio exceed some predetermined bounds. Within those bounds, which range from 0 sr to 250 sr in the version 3.01 release, the extinction algorithm will, if necessary, adjust the initial lidar ratio as required to produce a physically plausible solution consistent with the measured data. Users can determine the status of the final lidar ratio by examining the extinction QC flags.

For weakly scattering features, the lidar ratio is most often left unchanged by the extinction solver, as a physical solution is usually obtained on the first iteration. In these cases, the uncertainties in the final lidar ratio are the same as the uncertainties in the initial lidar ratio. The exception to this statement would be if either the cloud-aerosol discrimination or the layer sub-typing procedures have misclassified the layer. However, for weak layers, the relative error in the lidar ratio is (approximately) linearly related to the resulting error in the derived optical depth estimate.

Retrievals of opaque and strongly scattering layers are very sensitive to the initial lidar ratio selection. Too large a value will cause the retrieval algorithm to, in effect, extinguish all available signal before reaching the measured base of the feature. When that point is reached the retrieval becomes numerically unstable and the calculated extinction coefficients will asymptote toward positive infinity. In these cases, a successful solution can only be obtained by reducing the lidar ratio. The CALIOP extinction routine does this automatically, and will repeat the process until a stable solution is achieved. When this happens, the final lidar ratio reported in the data products is the first one for which a physically meaningful (albeit not necessarily correct) solution was obtained for the entire measured depth of the layer.

The optical depths and extinction profiles derived in those cases where the layer lidar ratio must be reduced are generally not accurate. The current lidar ratio reduction scheme terminates after identifying (a very close estimate of) the largest lidar ratio for which a physically meaningful solution can be generated for the backscatter measured in the layer. However, the optical depths and
extinction profiles reported in these situations can only be considered as upper bounds; the true values are somewhat, or perhaps even significantly, lower. Because the associated optical depth uncertainties cannot be reasonably estimated, these data should be excluded from statistical analyses of layer optical properties, and even the most sophisticated users are advised to treat these cases with extreme caution.

When an independent estimate of layer optical depth is available from a measured layer two-way transmittance, the CALIOP extinction algorithm will retrieve the optimal estimate of the layer-effective lidar ratio, irrespective of layer type, and use this retrieved lidar ratio in the extinction retrieval. These so-called ‘constrained’ retrievals are more accurate than unconstrained retrievals. For constrained retrievals, the uncertainty in the final lidar ratio can be well estimated using equation 7.4 from the CALIPSO Scene Classification ATBD (PDF). An extinction QC value of 1 indicates a successful constrained retrieval.

Lidar Ratio 532 Selection Method (external; 5 km products only)
Lidar Ratio 1064 Selection Method (external; 5 km aerosol products only)

Specifies the internal procedure used to select the initial lidar ratio for each layer; valid values in this field are...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>not determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>constrained retrieval (using two-way transmittance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>based on cloud phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>based on aerosol species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>fill value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Layer Effective 532 Multiple Scattering Factor (provisional; 5 km aerosol products only)
Layer Effective 1064 Multiple Scattering Factor (provisional; 5 km products only)

The layer effective multiple scattering factors, \( \eta_{532} \) and \( \eta_{1064} \), are specified at each wavelength according to layer type and subtype. Values range between 0 and 1; 1 corresponds to the limit of single scattering only, with smaller values indicating increasing contributions to the backscatter signal from multiple scattering. Multiple scattering effects are different in aerosols, ice clouds, and water clouds. A discussion of multiple scattering factors for ice clouds and several aerosol types can be found in Winker, 2003 (PDF). Multiple scattering in water clouds is discussed in Winker and Poole (1995).

Ice clouds: for the CALIOP viewing geometry, simulations show multiple scattering effects are nearly independent of range and, as parameterized in the CALIOP retrieval algorithm (Winker et al. 2009), are nearly independent of extinction. In Version 2, ice clouds were assigned a range-independent multiple scattering factor of \( \eta_{532} = 0.6 \). Validation comparisons indicate this is an appropriate value and the same value is used in Version 3.

Water clouds: in Version 2 the multiple scattering factor for water clouds was set to unity, resulting in large errors in retrievals of extinction and optical depth. In Version 3, a value of \( \eta_{532} = 0.6 \) is used. Based on Monte Carlo simulations of multiple scattering, this value appears to be appropriate for semitransparent water clouds (\( n < 1 \)). (It is purely coincidental this is the same value used for ice clouds.) For denser water clouds (\( n > 1 \)) the multiply-scattered component of the signal becomes much larger than the single-scattered component, \( \eta_{532} \) becomes dependent on both cloud extinction and range into the cloud, and the retrieval becomes very sensitive to errors in the multiple scattering factor used. In these cases the multiple scattering cannot be properly accounted for in the current retrieval algorithm and retrieval results are unreliable.

Aerosols: simulations of multiple scattering effects on retrievals of aerosol layer optical depth indicate the effects are small in most cases. There is uncertainty in these estimates, however, due to poor knowledge of aerosol scattering phase functions. Validation comparisons conducted to date do not indicate significant multiple scattering effects on aerosol extinction profile retrievals. Multiple scattering effects may become significant in dense aerosol layers (\( c > 1 /\text{km} \)), but in these cases retrieval errors are usually dominated by uncertainties in the lidar ratio or failure to fully penetrate the layer. In Version 3, as in Version 2, multiple scattering factors for both wavelengths are set to unity.

Integrated Particulate Depolarization Ratio (provisional; 5 km products only)

Similar to the layer-integrated volume depolarization ratio, except for particulates only. The particulate depolarization ratio represents the contribution to the volume depolarization ratio that is due only to the cloud and/or aerosol particles within the layer. For non-spherical particles (e.g., ice, dust) the particulate depolarization ratio will normally be higher than the volume depolarization ratio (how much higher depends on the particulate concentration within the volume). For layers consisting of spherical particles, the particulate depolarization ratio would normally be equal to or even slightly lower than the volume depolarization ratios. However, if the layer optical depth is high (e.g., water clouds), multiple scattering can cause the integrated particulate depolarization ratio to be substantially higher than otherwise expected.

While the volume depolarization ratio is a direct measurement, the layer integrated 532 nm particulate depolarization ratio, \( \delta_p \), is a post-extinction quantity, calculated from ratio of the layer integrated perpendicular and parallel polarization components of particulate backscatter coefficient within the layer, using
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\[ \delta_{P,layer} = \frac{\sum_{k=\text{top}}^{\text{base}} \beta_{\perp,P} (z_k)}{\sum_{k=\text{top}}^{\text{base}} \beta_{\parallel,P} (z_k)} \]

Here \( \beta_{\perp,P} \) and \( \beta_{\parallel,P} \) are the perpendicular and parallel components of particulate backscatter coefficient at 532 nm, respectively.

The quality of the estimate for \( \delta_p \) is determined not only by the SNR of the backscatter measurements in parallel and perpendicular channels, but also the accuracy of the range-resolved two-way transmittance estimates within the layer. The two-way transmittances due to molecules and ozone can be well characterized via the model data obtained from the GMAO. The two-way transmittances due participates, however, are only as accurate as the CALIOPE extinction retrieval. Opaque cirrus cloud layers can be particularly prone to errors in the particulate depolarization ratio, as very large attenuation corrections are applied to the weak signals at the base of the layers, and on those occasions where one channel or the other becomes totally attenuated, this situation can generate very large, negative particulate depolarization ratio estimates. For layers that are not opaque, \( \delta_p \) is generally reliable. However, in weakly scattering layers, the quality of the daytime estimate can be degraded by a factor of 2-4 due to the larger background noise compared with the nighttime estimate.

**Integrated Particulate Depolarization Ratio Uncertainty** (provisional; 5 km products only)

Uncertainty associated with the estimate of the layer-integrated particulate depolarization ratio, calculated by integrating the uncertainties for the particulate backscatter coefficients measured in the 532 nm parallel and perpendicular channels, and then applying standard techniques for error propagation in ratioed quantities. The uncertainties for the parallel and perpendicular channel particulate backscatter coefficients are derived from previously computed estimates of the extinction uncertainty. There are occasions when the extinction uncertainty calculation can become unstable, and when this happens the calculated values begin to grow excessively large. Whenever this situation is detected, a default uncertainty of 99.99 is assigned to all remaining extinction and backscatter coefficients within a layer. If the extinction calculation anywhere within a layer found to be totally unreliable -- i.e., if the extinction uncertainty is 99.99 -- then the integrated particulate depolarization ratio must be considered equally unreliable. In these cases, the integrated particulate depolarization ratio uncertainty will also be set to 99.99.

Based on a one month test data set (January 2007), the median particulate depolarization ratio uncertainties in the aerosol layer products is typically ~0.04 and ~0.16 for nighttime and daytime measurements, respectively.

Note: both in the layer products and the profile products, particulate depolarization ratio uncertainties are reported as absolute errors, not relative errors.

**Particulate Depolarization Ratio Statistics** (provisional; 5 km products only)

Reports the minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, centroid, and skewness coefficient of the array of particulate depolarization ratios computed for each layer. Formulas used for each of the statistical calculations can be found in section 6 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

**Integrated Particulate Color Ratio** (provisional: 5 km aerosol products only)

The integrated particulate color ratio (hereafter, \( \chi_p \)) is a post-extinction quantity, calculated from ratio of the particulate backscatter coefficients at wavelengths \( \lambda = 1064 \) nm and \( \lambda = 532 \) nm, each summed over the vertical extent of the layer; i.e.,

\[ \chi_p = \left( \frac{\sum_{k=\text{top}}^{\text{base}} \beta_{P,1064} (z_k)}{\sum_{k=\text{top}}^{\text{base}} \beta_{P,532} (z_k)} \right) \]

Much like the integrated attenuated total color ratio, the quality of \( \chi_p \) is governed by the accuracy to which layer top and base altitudes...
are determined and by the signal-to-noise ratios of the backscatter data within the layer. Additionally, since all of the $\beta_0$ values are derived by the Hybrid Extinction Retrieval Algorithm (HERA), the quality of $x_p$ also depends on the success of the HERA profile solver in deriving accurate solutions for $\beta_0$. As such, the quality of $x_p$ can be partially assessed via the extinction QC flags which report the final state of the HERA solution attempt. In general, solutions where the final lidar ratio is unchanged (extinction QC = 0) or the extinction solution is constrained (extinction QC = 1) yield physically plausible solutions more often. Conversely, solutions tend to be more uncertain in those cases where the lidar ratio for either wavelength must be reduced.

Integrated Particulate Color Ratio Uncertainty (provisional; 5 km aerosol products only)
Uncertainty associated with the estimate of the layer-integrated particulate backscatter color ratio, calculated by first integrating the uncertainties for the particulate backscatter coefficients measured at each wavelength, and then applying standard techniques for error propagation in ratioed quantities. The error estimates in the particulate backscatter coefficients at both wavelengths are computed by the extinction retrieval algorithm. There are occasions when this calculation can become unstable, and the backscatter uncertainty calculation can grow excessively large. In these cases, a default uncertainty of 99.99 is assigned to all remaining backscatter coefficients (and extinction) coefficients within a layer. If the backscatter uncertainty calculation fails anywhere within a layer -- that is, if the uncertainty in any of the backscatter coefficients used to compute the particulate color ratio uncertainty is set to 99.99 -- then the particulate color ratio uncertainty for that layer will also be reported as 99.99.

Note: Uncertainties for layer-integrated particulate backscatter color ratios are reported as absolute errors, not relative errors.

Particulate Color Ratio Statistics (provisional; 5 km aerosol products only)
Reports the minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, centroid, and skewness coefficient of the array of particulate backscatter color ratios computed for each layer. Formulas used for each of the statistical calculations can be found in section 6 of the CALIPSO Feature Detection ATBD (PDF).

Users can have high confidence in the calculation of all the values in the attenuated particulate color ratio statistics fields. However, particulate color ratios are produced by dividing one noisy number (the 1064 nm mean particulate backscatter coefficient) by a second noisy number (the 532 nm mean particulate backscatter coefficient), resulting in values that can range from large negative values to extremely large positive values, depending on the noise in any pair of samples. When computing layer means, standard deviations, and centroids, these outliers can dominate the calculation, and thus return entirely unrealistic estimates. Therefore, the integrated particulate ratio characterizes the particulate color ratio of a layer more reliably than does the mean value of the individual particulate color ratios within a layer.

Ice Water Path (provisional; 5 km cloud products only)
The integral, from layer top to layer base, of the ice-water content profile within any ice cloud layer. Ice water content is derived from the cloud particulate extinction coefficient using a parameterization derived from in-situ measurements (see Heymsfield, Winker, and van Zadelhoff, Extinction-ice water content-effective radius algorithms for CALIPSO, GRL, vol. 32)

Ice Water Path Uncertainty (provisional; 5 km cloud products only)
Uncertainty associated with the estimate of ice water path, computed by integrating the uncertainties computed for the ice water content within the layer. Because the ice water content is derived directly from the 532 nm extinction estimates, uncertainties in ice water path are directly related to uncertainties in layer optical depths. If the 532 nm optical depth for a layer is entirely uncertain (i.e., $\Delta \tau = 99.99$), the ice water path uncertainty will also be entirely uncertain (i.e., $\Delta IWP = 99.99$).

Cirrus Shape Parameter (5 km cloud products only)
Not calculated for the current release; data products contain fill values in this field.

Cirrus Shape Parameter Invalid Points (5 km cloud products only)
Not calculated for the current release; data products contain fill values in this field.

Cirrus Shape Parameter Uncertainty (5 km cloud products only)
Not calculated for the current release; data products contain fill values in this field.

Layer QA Information

CAD Score (ValStage1)
The cloud-aerosol discrimination (CAD) score, which is reported in the 1-km and 5-km layer products, provides a numerical confidence level for the classification of layers by the CALIOP cloud-aerosol discrimination algorithm. The CAD algorithm separates clouds and aerosols based on multi-dimensional histograms of scattering properties (e.g., intensity and spectral dependence) as a function of geophysical location. In areas where there is no overlap or intersection between these histograms, features can be classified with complete confidence (i.e., [CAD score] = 100).

In the current release (version 3), the CAD algorithm uses newly developed five-dimensional (5D) probability density functions (PDFs), rather than the three-dimensional (3D) PDFs used in previous versions. In addition to the parameters used in the earlier 3D version of the algorithm (layer mean attenuated backscatter at 532 nm, layer-integrated attenuated backscatter color ratio, and altitude), the new 5D PDFs also include feature latitude and the layer-integrated volume depolarization ratio. Detailed descriptions of the CAD algorithm can be found in Sections 4 and 5 of the CALIPSO Scene Classification ATBD (PDF). Enhancements made to incorporate the 5D PDFs used in version 3 release are described in Liu et al., 2010 (PDF). For further information on the CAD algorithm architecture and
the three-dimensional (3D) PDFs used in versions 1 and 2 of the data products, please see Liu et al., 2004 (PDF) and/or Liu et al., 2009.

The standard CAD scores reported in the CALIPSO layer products range between -100 and 100. The sign of the CAD score indicates the feature type: positive values signify clouds, whereas negative values signify aerosols. The absolute value of the CAD score provides a confidence level for the classification. The larger the magnitude of the CAD score, the higher our confidence that the classification is correct. An absolute value of 100 therefore indicates complete confidence. Absolute values less that 100 indicate some ambiguity in the classification; that is, the scattering properties of the feature are represented to some degree in both the cloud PDF and in the aerosol PDF. In this case, a definitive classification cannot be made; that is, although we can provide a "best guess" classification, this guess could be wrong, with a probability of error related to the absolute value of the CAD score. A value of 0 indicates that a feature has an equal likelihood of being a cloud and an aerosol. Users are encouraged to refer to the CAD score when the cloud and aerosol classification results are used and interpreted.

Beginning with the version 2.01 release, several "special" CAD score values have been added. These are listed in the table below. Each of these new values represents a classification result that is based on additional information beyond that normally considered in the standard CAD algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAD score</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-101</td>
<td>negative mean attenuated backscatter encountered; layer is most likely an artifact, and its spatial and optical properties should be excluded from all science analyses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>initially classified as aerosol, but layer integrated depolarization mandates classifying layer as cloud (version 2 only; obsolete in version 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>layer exhibits very high integrated backscatter and very low depolarization characteristic of oriented ice crystals (version 2 only; obsolete in version 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>layer integrated attenuated backscatter at 532 nm is suspiciously high; feature authenticity and classification are both highly uncertain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>layers with CAD scores of 104 are boundary layer clouds that were found to be opaque at the initial 5-km horizontal averaging resolution used by the layer detection algorithm; however, these layers are not uniformly filled with high-resolution clouds (i.e., layers detected at a 1/3-km horizontal resolution), and the 532 nm mean attenuated backscatter coefficient of the data that remains after cloud clearing is negative. Studies examining the spatial properties and distributions of clouds can safely include the spatial properties of these layers; however, the associated measured and derived optical properties should be excluded from all science studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>a CAD score of 105 designates a layer detected at one of the coarser averaging resolutions (20-km or 80-km) for which the initial estimates of measured properties have been negatively impacted by either (a) the attenuation corrections applied to account for the optical depths of overlying layers, or (b) the extension of the layer base altitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extinction QC 532** (provisional; 5 km products only)

**Extinction QC 1064** (provisional; 5 km aerosol products only)

The extinction QC flags are bit-mapped 16-bit integers, reported for each layer and for each wavelength for which an extinction retrieval was attempted. Aerosol extinction is computed for both wavelengths; cloud extinction is only reported at 532 nm. The information content of each bit is as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bit</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>unconstrained retrieval; initial lidar ratio unchanged during solution process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>constrained retrieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Initial lidar ratio reduced to prevent divergence of extinction solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Initial lidar ratio increased to reduce the number of negative extinction coefficients in the derived solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Calculated backscatter coefficient exceeds the maximum allowable value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Layer being analyzed has been identified by the feature finder as being totally attenuating (i.e., opaque)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Estimated optical depth error exceeds the maximum allowable value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Solution converges, but with an unacceptably large number of negative values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Retrieval terminated at maximum iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>No solution possible within allowable lidar ratio bounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>32768</td>
<td>Fill value or no solution attempted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bit assignments are additive, so that (for example) an extinction QC value of 18 represents an unconstrained retrieval (bit 1 is NOT set) for which the lidar ratio was reduced to prevent divergence (+2; bit 2 is set), and for which the feature finder has indicated that the layer is opaque (+16; bit 5 is set). For the version 2.01 release, bits 10-15 are not used. Complete information about the conditions under which each extinction QC bit is toggled can be found in the CALIPSO Extinction Retrieval ATBD (PDF)

**Feature Classification Flags (ValStage1)**

For each layer, we report a set of feature classification flags that provide assessments of (a) feature type (e.g., cloud vs. aerosol vs. stratospheric layer); (b) feature subtype; (c) layer ice-water phase (clouds only); and (d) the amount of horizontal averaging required for layer detection. The complete set of flags is stored as a single 16-bit integer. A comprehensive description of the feature finder classification flags, including their derivation and physical significance, quality assessments, and guidelines for interpreting them in computer codes, can be found in the vertical feature mask data product.

Correct interpretation of the feature subtype bits depends on the status of the feature type; e.g., the interpretation is different for clouds and aerosols. For aerosols, the feature subtype is one of eight types: desert dust, biomass burning, background, polluted continental, marine, polluted dust, other, and ‘not determined’. Desert dust is mostly mineral soil. Biomass burning is an aged smoke aerosol consisting primarily of soot and organic carbon (OC), clean continental (also referred to as background or rural aerosol) is a light loaded aerosol consisting of sulfates (SO₄²⁻), nitrates (NO₃⁻), OC, and Ammonium (NH₄⁺), polluted continental is background aerosol with a substantial fraction of urban pollution, marine is a hygroscopic aerosol that consists primarily of sea-salt (NaCl), and polluted dust is a mixture of desert dust and smoke or urban pollution. Extensive test data generated prior to the version 3 release revealed a negligibly minute number of layers with spurious lidar ratios and aerosol type designations. These trace layers are currently labeled ‘not determined’. The ‘other’ designation is a place-holder for another, yet to be determined, aerosol type. While this set does not cover all possible aerosol mixing scenarios, it accounts for a majority of mesoscale aerosol layers. In essence the algorithm trades off complex transient multi-component mixtures for relatively stable layers with large horizontal extent (10-1000 km).

**Layer Base Extended**

A non-zero value indicates that the base of the layer has been extended from the initial altitude assigned by the layer detection algorithm to a new, lower altitude lying three range bins (90 m) above the Earth's surface. Non-zero values represent the layer's feature classification flags prior to the base being extended.

In Version 1 and 2 data, the base altitudes of optically thick aerosol layers were sometimes biased high due to lidar signal attenuation or signal perturbations, causing aerosol optical depth (AOD) underestimates. In Version 3, to compensate for this, layer base altitudes of aerosol layers meeting the following criteria (hereafter termed, ‘extended layers’) are lowered to three range bins (90 m) from the surface as reported by the lidar surface elevation. The criteria for aerosol layer base extension are:

1. The layer must be the lowest layer in the 5 km resolution column
2. The surface must be detected below the layer
3. The difference between the original base altitude and Lidar Surface Elevation must be less than the science-tunable parameter, ‘maximum gap distance’, currently = 2.5 km
4. The mean attenuated backscatter at 532 nm beneath the original base altitude must be positive

These criteria attempt to ensure that only boundary-layer aerosol layers with useable signals beneath their original bases are extended. The possibility of introducing surface contamination in layer optical properties is reduced by assigning the extended base to an altitude 90 m above the local surface height. Hence, surface contamination in extended layers is minimal and confined to regions with rugged terrain. However, this also means that profiles within layers with extended bases always stop 90 meters above the surface. In four months of global test data, the base altitudes of 8.6% of all layers originally classified as aerosol were extended, with average base altitudes lowered by 0.54 km.

Layer descriptors are re-computed after base extension and each extended layer is re-analyzed by the scene classification algorithms to assign feature type, subtype, and CAD Score. Consequently, the feature type or subtype of these layers may change since their optical properties have changed. Hence, layer base extended descriptors are populated with the feature classification
flags of these layers prior to base extension so their previous type and subtype can be discerned. In four months of test data, 17% of extended aerosol layer subtypes changed due to base extension. Additionally, 12% of all extended aerosol layers were reclassified as cloud layers, accounting for 0.3% of all cloud layers. This typically occurs in scenes with low SNR, or when surface contamination suspected. Extended aerosol layers which are reclassified as cloud layers tend to have very low CAD scores before and after base extension (65% have |CAD score| less than 10), so their type was never certain to begin with. These layers cannot be used with confidence. Conversely, 85% of extended layers have |CAD score| > 90 when the type does not change (extended aerosol layer remains an aerosol layer). Users are advised to consult CAD scores to assess confidence in all feature types.

Impact on Version 3 Aerosol Optical Depths

A focused study of two test days (2007-01-01 and 2007-08-27) found that the median optical depth of extended aerosol layers increased by 22%, resulting in a 1% AOD increase in all aerosol layers globally. The figure below shows the change in optical depth for extended aerosol layers (unconstrained retrievals) where the type or subtype did not change for the two test days. By design, optical depth values have increased due to the aerosol base extension algorithm.

![Figure 6: Histogram of aerosol optical depth at 532 nm with and without base extension for all extended aerosol layers in the two day test.](image)

Overlying Integrated Attenuated Backscatter (IAB) 532 (ValStage1)

Similar to the column integrated attenuated backscatter, the overlying integrated attenuated backscatter (hereafter, \(\gamma^{'}\) above) is the integral with respect to altitude of the 532 nm total attenuated backscatter coefficients. The upper limit of integration is once again the first range bin in the measured signal profile, and the lower limit is now the range bin immediately above the layer top altitude.

\(\gamma^{'}\) above provides a qualitative assessment of the confidence that users should assign to each layer reported. As noted earlier (see the discussion for layer base and top heights), layer detection, and the assessment of the associated layer descriptors, becomes increasingly uncertain as the overlying optical depth increases. This uncertainty cannot be easily quantified, because backscatter lidars such as CALIOP cannot measure optical depth directly, and must instead derive optical depth estimates in subsequent data processing. However, \(\gamma^{'}\) above can easily be obtained directly from the calibrated backscatter signal, and hence can provide a qualitative proxy for the optical depth above each layer detected.

Layer IAB QA Factor (ValStage1)

The single layer analog of the column IAB cumulative probability: the layer IAB QA factor is defined as 1 - F(\(\gamma^{'}\) above), where F(\(\gamma^{'}\) above) is the cumulative probability of measuring a complete column integrated attenuated backscatter equal to \(\gamma^{'}\) above-

File Metadata Parameters

Product ID

an 80-byte (max) character string specifying the data product name. For all CALIPSO Level 2 lidar data products, the value of this string will be "L2_Lidar".

Date Time at Granule Start

a 27-byte character string that reports the date and time at the start of the file orbit segment (i.e., granule). The format is yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss.ffffffZ.

Date Time at Granule End
a 27-byte character string that reports the date and time at the end of the file orbit segment (i.e., granule). The format is yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss.sssssfZ.

**Date Time at Granule Production**
This is a 27-byte character string that defines the date at granule production. The format is yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss.sssssfZ.

**Number of Good Profiles**
This is a 32-bit integer specifying the number of good attenuated backscatter profiles contained in the granule.

**Number of Bad Profiles**
This is a 32-bit integer specifying the number of bad attenuated backscatter profiles contained in the granule.

**Initial Subsatellite Latitude**
This field reports the first subsatellite latitude of the granule.

**Initial Subsatellite Longitude**
This field reports the first subsatellite longitude of the granule.

**Final Subsatellite Latitude**
This field reports the last subsatellite latitude of the granule.

**Final Subsatellite Longitude**
This field reports the last subsatellite longitude of the granule.

**Orbit Number at Granule Start**
This field reports the orbit number at the granule start time.

**Orbit Number at Granule End**
This field reports the orbit number at the granule stop time.

**Orbit Number Change Time**
This field reports the time at which the orbit number changes in the granule.

**Path Number at Granule Start**
This field reports the path number at the granule start time.

**Path Number at Granule End**
This field reports the path number at the granule stop time.

**Path Number Change Time**
This field reports the time at which the path number changes in the granule.

**Lidar Level 1 Production Date Time**
For each CALIOP Lidar Level 2 data product, the Lidar Level 1 Production Date Time field reports the file creation time and date for the CALIOP Level 1 Lidar data file that provided the source data used in the Level 2 analyses.

**Number of Single Shot Records in File**
for internal use only

**Number of Average Records in File**
for internal use only

**Number of Features Found**
for internal use only

**Number of Cloud Features Found**
for internal use only

**Number of Aerosol Features Found**
for internal use only

**Number of Indeterminate Features Found**
for internal use only
Lidar Data Altitude
This field defines the lidar data altitudes (583 range bins) to which lidar Level 1 profile products are registered.

GEOS Version
This is a 64-byte character that reports the version of the GEOS data product provided by the GMAO.

Classifier Coefficients Version Number
Version number of the classifier coefficients file that stores the five-dimensional probability distribution functions used by the cloud-aerosol discrimination (CAD) algorithm.
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<tr>
<td><strong>Half orbit (Night and Day) lidar cloud and aerosol layer products describe both column and layer properties</strong></td>
</tr>
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</table>
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<th>Standard Version</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2011</td>
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<tr>
<td>May 2010</td>
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<td>January 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Summary Statement for the release of the CALIPSO Lidar Level 1B, Level 2, and Level 3 Products Version 3.30, April 2013

The Version 3.30 CALIOP Lidar Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 data products incorporate the updated GMAO Forward Processing – Instrument Teams (FP-IT) meteorological data, and the enhanced Air Force Weather Authority (AFWA) Snow and Ice Data Set as ancillary inputs to the production of these data sets, beginning with data date March 1, 2013.

Impacts on CALIOP data products caused by the transition to GEOS-5 FP-IT are predicted to be minimal, based on a comparison of CALIOP Version 3.02 against CALIOP Version 3.30, summarized below. Additional details are given in the following document: Impacts of Change in GEOS-5 Version on CALIOP Products (PDF).

GEOS-5 Changes and CALIOP Impact Summary:

- **Level 1B nighttime calibration:**
  GEOS-5 molecular number densities in the CALIOP nighttime calibration region increased by roughly 0.6% on average which caused the nighttime calibration coefficients to decrease on average by ~0.6%. Since attenuated backscatter is inversely proportional to the calibration coefficient, nighttime attenuated backscatter will increase by 0.6% on average.

- **Level 1B daytime calibration:**
  GEOS-5 molecular number densities in the CALIOP daytime calibration region increased by 0.1% near the equator and increased by up to 0.4 - 0.7% near the poles which caused daytime calibration coefficients to decrease by ~0.2% near the equator and decrease by roughly ~0.8% near the poles. Daytime attenuated backscatter will thereby increase by these same magnitudes.

- **Level 2 layer detection:**
  GEOS-5 molecular number densities increased in the CALIOP night and day calibration regions subsequently increasing night and day attenuated backscatter, causing the number of layers detected to increase slightly. For the two months examined, the number of aerosol and cloud layers increased by < 0.8% and < 0.2%, respectively.

- **Level 2 layer classification:**
  GEOS-5 tropopause height decreased by ~1 km at 30°S and 40°N and decreased by 1.5 km over the Antarctic in September 2011. Since CALIOP classifies layers detected above the tropopause as stratospheric features, about 3 - 5% of stratospheric features were
instead classified as either cloud or aerosol. These changes are considered minor except in Sep. 2011 over the Antarctic where a 1 - 1.5 km reduction in tropopause height caused 100% of cloud and aerosol layers to be re-classified as stratospheric features. This latter effect may occur seasonally over the Antarctic.

- **Level 3 aerosol extinction and aerosol optical depth:**
  GEOS-5 molecular number densities increased by small amounts in the CALIPSO calibration regions and by smaller amounts at other altitudes, slightly increasing the number of aerosol layers detected and increasing their attenuated backscatter. Consequent small increases in aerosol extinction and aerosol optical depth are much smaller than uncertainties in these parameters.

**Data Quality Statement for the release of the CALIPSO Lidar Level 2 Cloud and Aerosol Layer Product Version 3.02, December 2011**

The CALIPSO Team is releasing Version 3.02 which represents a transition of the Lidar, IIR, and WFC processing and browse code to a new cluster computing system. No algorithm changes were introduced and very minor changes were observed between V 3.01 and V 3.02 as a result of the compiler and computer architecture differences. Version 3.02 is being released in a forward processing mode beginning November 1, 2011.

**Data Quality Statement for the release of the CALIPSO Lidar Level 2 Cloud and Aerosol Layer Products Version 3.01, May 2010**

Version 3.01 of the Lidar Level 2 data products is a significant improvement over previous versions. Major code and algorithm improvements include:

- the elimination of a vicious, vile, and pernicious bug in the cloud clearing code that caused a substantial overestimate of low cloud fraction in earlier data releases (details given in Vaughan et al., 2010 (PDF));
- enhancements to the cloud-aerosol discrimination algorithm that increase the number of diagnostic parameters used to make classification decisions (details given in Liu et al., 2010 (PDF));
- improved daytime calibration procedures, resulting in more accurate estimates of layer spatial and optical properties (details given in Powell et al., 2010 (PDF)); and
- an entirely new algorithm for assessing cloud thermodynamic phase (details given in Hu et al., 2009).

In addition to the numerous algorithm updates, several new parameters have been added to the layer products. These include:

- column optical depths and their associated uncertainties for clouds, aerosols, and stratospheric layers;
- additional meteorological parameters reported for each layer (e.g., cloud top pressure and cloud top temperature);
- derived optical properties such as layer-integrated particulate depolarization ratio, layer-integrated particulate color ratio (aerosol layers only), and ice-water path (ice clouds only); and
- uncertainty estimates for layer optical depths and all derived optical properties.

The sections below highlight important changes to the layer detection, scene classification, and extinction algorithms that have implications for the overall quality of the Lidar Level 2 data products.

**Layer Detection**

As in previous versions, the layer boundaries reported in the Lidar Level 2 Cloud and Aerosol Layer Products appear to be quite accurate. Some false positives are still found beneath optically thick layers; these, however, can generally be identified by their very low CAD scores (e.g., |CAD score| <= 20). In opaque layers, the lowest altitude where signal is reliably observed is reported as the base. In actuality, this reported base may lie well above the true base. Opaque layers are denoted by an opacity flag. In this release, the layers which are reported represent a choice in favor of high reliability over maximum sensitivity. Weakly scattering layers sometimes will go unreported, in the interest of minimizing the number of false positives.
Cloud-Aerosol Discrimination

Figure 7 (below) compares the distributions of CAD scores derived from four months of version 3 test data to the corresponding version 2.01 data. The V3 curve shows a smoother distribution and generally has fewer low CAD values (i.e., values less than −15), reflecting the better separation of clouds and aerosols when using the version 3 5-D PDFs as compared to the separation provided by 3-D PDFs in previous versions. One notable exception to this observation is the bump between -10 and 20 in the V3 test curve, which accounts for ~ 6% of the total features. The CAD scores in this region identify both outlier features whose optical/physical properties are not correctly measured or derived, and those features whose attributes fall within the overlap region between the cloud and aerosol PDFs. In contrast, these outliers are populated over the entire CAD span in the V2 release.

![Figure 7: Histograms of CAD scores for Version 2 (red) and Version 3 (blue)](image)

Figure 8 (below) presents the relationship between the CAD score and the layer IAB QA factor, which provides a measure of the integrated attenuated backscatter overlying a cloud or an aerosol layer. A layer IAB QA factor close to 1 indicates that the atmosphere above the layer under is clear. Decreasing values indicate the increasing likelihood of overlying layers that have attenuated the signal within the layer under consideration, and thus decreased the SNR of the measurement. A layer IAB QA factor of 0 would indicate total attenuation of the signal. As seen in the figure, the IAB QA is highest for high magnitude CAD scores and slopes down gradually for small CAD score magnitudes. This relationship reflects the fact that the presence of overlying features tends to add difficulty to the cloud-aerosol classification task, and therefore reduces the confidence of the classifications made. The dip between -10 and 20 represents features that are outliers in the 5-D CAD PDFs, and indicates that these outliers most often lie beneath other relatively dense features. The cloud layers with special CAD scores (103 and 104) have the smallest IAB QA values. The relatively big value at CAD = 0 corresponds to the features having zero CAD values at high altitudes where the probability of the presence of overlying features is low. At high altitudes the separation of clouds and aerosols is not as good as at low altitudes because of the presence of subvisible cirrus clouds.
Overall, because of the better separation between clouds and aerosols in the 5D space, the 5D CAD algorithm significantly improves the reliability of the CAD scores. The improvements include:

1. Dense aerosol layers (primarily very dense dust and smoke over and close to the source regions), which are sometimes labeled as cloud in the V2 release, are now correctly identified as aerosol, largely because of the addition of the integrated volume depolarization ratio to the diagnostic parameters used for cloud-aerosol discrimination. In addition, in the open oceans, dense aerosols that were previously classified as clouds are now frequently observed in the marine boundary layer. Improvements are also seen for these maritime aerosols. Note, however, dense dust/smoke layers found at single-shot (0.333 km) resolution will be classified as cloud by default. This issue will be revisited for post-V3 releases.

2. Because the V2 CAD algorithm used a latitude-independent set of 3D PDFs, a class of optically thin clouds encountered in the polar regions that can extend from the surface to several kilometers were sometimes misclassified as aerosols. In version 3, these features are now correctly classified as cloud.

3. Correct classification of heterogeneous layers is always difficult. An example of a heterogeneous layer would be an aerosol layer that is vertically adjacent to a cloud or contains an embedded cloud, but which is nonetheless detected by the feature finder as a single entity in the V2 release. By convention, heterogeneous layers should be classified as clouds. The version 3 feature finding algorithm has also been improved greatly, and can now much better separate the embedded or adjacent single-shot cloud layers from the surrounding aerosol. This improvement in layer detection contributes significantly to the improvement of the CAD performance.

4. Some so-called features identified by the layer detection scheme are not legitimate layers, but instead are artifacts due to the noise in the signal, multiple scattering effects, or to artificial signal enhancements caused by non-ideal detector transient response or an over estimate of the attenuation due to overlying layers. These erroneous "pseudo-features" are neither cloud nor aerosol and are distributed outside of the cloud and aerosol clusters in the PDF space. The V3 CAD algorithm can better identify these outlier features by assigning a small CAD score (the bump between -10 and 20 in the V3 CAD histogram) and classify most of them as cloud by convention. A CAD threshold of 20 can effectively filter out these outliers.

Some misclassification may still occur with the 5D algorithm. For example, dust aerosols can be transported long distance to the Arctic. When moderately dense dust layers are occasionally transported to high latitudes, where cirrus clouds can present even in the low altitudes, they may be misclassified. This is also the case for moderately dense smoke aerosols occasionally transported to the high latitudes. Smoke can be mixed with ice particles during the long range transport, which makes the smoke identification even more difficult. When moderately dense dust and smoke are transported vertically to high altitudes, even at low latitudes, misclassifications can occur due to the presence of cirrus clouds. Volcanic aerosol that is newly injected into the high altitudes may have a large cross-polarized backscatter signal and thus may be misclassified as cloud.

### Aerosol Type Identification

The main objective of the aerosol subtyping scheme is to estimate the appropriate value of the aerosol extinction-to-backscatter ratio \( S_e \) to within 30% of the true value. \( S_e \) is an important parameter used in the determination of the aerosol extinction and subsequently the optical depth from CALIOP backscatter measurements. \( S_e \) is an intensive aerosol property, i.e., a property that does not depend...
on the number density of the aerosol but rather on such physical and chemical properties as size distribution, shape and composition. These properties depend primarily on the source of the aerosol and such factors as mixing, transport, and in the case of hygroscopic aerosols, hydration.

The extinction products are produced by first identifying an aerosol type and then using the appropriate values of $S_a$ and the multiple scattering factor, $|z|$. Note that multiple scattering corrections have not yet been implemented for the current data release, so that $|z| = 1$ for all aerosol types. The accuracy of the $S_a$ value used in the lidar inversions depends on the correct identification of the type of aerosol. In turn, the accuracy of the subsequent optical depth estimate depends on the accuracy of $S_a$.

The underlying paradigm of the type classification is that a variety of emission sources and atmospheric processes will act to produce air masses with a typical, identifiable aerosol 'type'. This is an idealization, but one that allows us to classify aerosols based on observations and location in a way to gain insight into the geographic distribution of aerosol types and constrain the possible values of $S_a$ for use in aerosol extinction retrievals.

The aerosol subtype product is generated downstream of the cloud-aerosol discrimination (CAD) scheme and, therefore, depends on the cloud-aerosol classification scheme in a very fundamental way. If a cloud feature is misclassified as aerosol, the aerosol subtype algorithm will identify this 'aerosol' as one of the aerosol subtypes. The user must exercise caution where the aerosol subtype looks suspicious or unreasonable. Such situations can occur with some frequency in the southern oceans and the polar regions.

Cloud Ice/Water Phase Discrimination

The cloud phase algorithm used in Version 2 has been replaced with a new, completely different algorithm. The Version 3 algorithm classifies detected cloud layers as water, randomly-oriented ice (ROI), or horizontally-oriented ice (HOI) based on relations between depolarization, backscatter, and color ratio (Hu et al. 2009). These classifications have not yet been rigorously validated, which is difficult, but many of the obvious artifacts found in the Version 2 data have been eliminated.

The version 2 algorithm included a rudimentary ability to identify a specific subset of high confidence instances of HOI. These clouds were classified as ice clouds, and flagged with a 'special CAD score' of 102, indicating that they had been further classified as HOI. The new version 3 algorithm implements a much more sophisticated scheme for recognizing HOI that correctly identifies many more instances of these sorts of ice clouds. The special CAD score of 102 is no longer used to identify these layers. Instead, the "ice cloud" and "mixed phase cloud" classifications have been eliminated, and replaced as shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Version 2 Interpretation</th>
<th>Version 3 Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>unknown/not determined</td>
<td>unknown/not determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ice</td>
<td>randomly oriented ice (ROI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mixed phase</td>
<td>horizontally oriented ice (HOI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Ice/water Phase QA flags have also been redefined slightly for Version 3, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Version 2 Interpretation</th>
<th>Version 3 Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>no confidence</td>
<td>no/low confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>low confidence</td>
<td>phase based on temperature only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>medium confidence</td>
<td>medium confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>high confidence</td>
<td>high confidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A confidence flag of QA=1 indicates the phase classification is based on temperature. Initial classification tests are based on layer depolarization, layer-integrated backscatter, and layer-average color ratio. Layers classified as water with temperature less than -40 C are forced to ROI and given a confidence flag of QA=1. Layers classified as ROI or HOI with temperature greater than 0 C are forced to water and also given a confidence flag of QA=1. Clouds for which the phase is 'unknown/not determined' are assigned a confidence value of 0 (no/low confidence).

Layers classified as HOI based on anomalously high backscatter and low depolarization are assigned QA=3. These layer characteristics are rarely detected after the CALIOP viewing angle was changed to 3° in November 2007. The Version 3 algorithm computes the spatial correlation of depolarization and integrated backscatter, and uses this as an additional test of cloud phase. Layers classified as HOI using this test are assigned QA=2. The spatial correlation test is responsible for the majority of the layers classified as HOI. These layers typically have higher backscatter than ROI but similar depolarization, and are common even at a viewing angle of 3°. We interpret this as clouds with significant perpendicular backscatter from ROI but containing enough HOI to produce enhanced backscatter. These layers tend to be found at much colder temperatures than the high confidence HOI (see Hu et al. 2009).
Cloud and Aerosol Optical Depths

The reliability of cloud and aerosol optical depths reported in the version 3 data products is considerably improved over the version 2 release. Whereas the version 2 optical depths were designated as a beta quality product, and not yet suitable for use in scientific publications, the maturity level of the version 3 optical depths has been upgraded to provisional. Several algorithm improvements and bugs fixes factored into the decision to upgrade the maturity level. Among these were the addition of the aerosol layer base extension algorithm, which greatly improves AOD estimates in the planetary boundary layer (PBL), and several significant improvements to the code responsible for rescaling the attenuated backscatter coefficients in lower layers to compensate for the beam attenuation that occurs when traversing transparent upper layers.

PLEASE NOTE: Users of the CALIOP optical depths should read and thoroughly understand the information provided in the Profile Products Data Quality Summary. This summary contains an expanded description of the extinction retrieval process from which the layer optical depths are derived, and provides essential guidance in the appropriate use of all CALIOP extinction-related data products. Validation and improvements to the profile products QA are ongoing efforts, and additional data quality information will be included with future releases.